





# GF-TADs Partnerships and Financing Panel (PFP)

11th Regional Steering Committee meeting of  
the GF-TADs for Africa, 21-23 of June 2022,  
Nairobi

Bouda Ahmadi, Interim coordinator of the GF-  
TADs Global Secretariat







## Background

To reach the goals of the GF-TADs Strategy a **Partnerships and Financing Panel (GF-TADs PFP)** has been established to:

- Provide guidance to the members of the GF-TADs governance bodies including the Management Committee, Global Steering Committee and Regional Steering Committees;
- Address the need to develop sustainable partnerships and financing mechanisms for countries and territories to support their TADs prevention and control activities.






## Aim and specific tasks

To provide guidance on:

- **Financing** the prevention and control of TADs;
- **Developing partnerships** for Member countries to increase the sustainability of their TADs control strategies;
- **Connecting the funding and financing** capacities of specific TADs strategies and identify concrete relevant targets and linkages with financial institutes.

Such guidance will consider the multi-sectorial dimension of partners (such as the UN, international organizations, academia, private sector, NGOs, civil society and Regional Economic Committees (RECs) such as ASEAN, IGAD, AU, ECOWAS, SADC and others)




## Interim Panel meeting held

Ten experts were invited to a meeting (22 Nov 2021) as an interim panel to provide guidance to form the PFP and to discuss below questions:

- 1. What ways could we improve the engagement with potential partners who share an interest in the major livestock TADs control?**
- 2. In addition to those in the call, who else might be appropriate and interested in the work of the panel?**
- 3. In what ways might the impact of GF-TADs be improved as a result of the advice of the panel ?**
- 4. Do you see a role for your organization in the panel, and what concerns do you have relating to panel, and what may address these?**





## Summary of comments 1


Medium to large producers set tone & have larger **capacity to enact changes**;

Understanding the benefits and the burden to control TADs- **the economic model**

**Linking TADs control to higher outcomes:** food loss and waste, one health, climate change, biodiversity, trade, economy;

**De-risking livestock investments and development;** investing in TADs in prevention;

Articulated role of panel for further discussion; **who is the panel serving;**



## Summary of comments 2

Having multiple donors can bring agendas, so may be better to be as observers;


Initiatives such as “GBAD” could develop the business case for GFTADs;

Concern is we often focus on single diseases; farmers need a holistic approach;

Source of data can be used from the WB from investments for analysis;


Communication is vital, panel to discuss and how to support or get support;

Lack of advocacy at country level, socio-econ benefits of controlling TADs .



## Context

- Given the complex environment and current financing/investment challenges faced by countries and regions in the control of specific TADs.
- The exchanges at GF-TADs level remain often focused on single diseases.
- During consultations on the GF-TADs strategy, regional road maps, regional steering committee, most regions and many countries expressed a need to receive support to advocate and increase their capacity to advocate for disease control activities.
- The level of involvement of private partners in GF-TADs activities varies a lot and is often limited.



## The PFP Establishment




The 1<sup>st</sup> meeting of the PFP was held on:

- 13 May 2022
- The panel currently consists of 13 members from various organizations around the globe.







## Members of the Panel



**Dr Allen John**, Australia, Research Director Vétérinaires San Frontières (VSF), Director, Vets Beyond Borders Director, Committee Member, Crawford Fund Australia



**Dr Balaban Oglan David Adrian**, Romania, Swine Production Board



**Dr Barasa Martin**, Kenya, Regional Head of Program Vétérinaires San Frontières (VSF)



**Ms Howard Dawn**, United Kingdom, CEO National Office of Animal Health (NOAH)



**Dr Mesenhowski Shannon**, United States of America, Senior Program Officer Enabling Functional Animal Systems, Agriculture Development, Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation



**Dr Singh Rabindra Prasad**, India, Director ICAR-Directorate of Foot and Mouth Disease



**Pr Ly Cheik**, Senegal, Research Associate Initiative Prospective Agricole et Rurale



**Dr Berthe Franck**, France, Senior Livestock Specialist within the Global Engagement Unit of the Global Practice for Agriculture and Food, World Bank



**Pr Magnusson Ulf**, Sweden, Professor Clinical Science Swedish University of Agricultural Science, Global Agenda for Sustainable Livestock (GASL)



**Dr Capozzo Alejandra**, Argentina, Principal Researcher of CONICET-INTA, CEO Global Foot-and-Mouth (F M D) Research Alliance (GFRA)




**Pr Pendell Dustin**, United States of America, Professor Agriculture Economics, Kansas University, Global Burden of Animal Diseases (GBADs)



**Dr Hassan Nizam Quaza Nizamuddin**, Malaysia, President Federation Asian Veterinarian Association



**Dr Schumacher Carolin**, Germany, CEO Global Alliance for Livestock Veterinary Medicines (GALVMED)




## Coordination

- A chair and a vice chair will be designated
- **Mandate:** The first term would be for one year to develop the dynamic of the PFP and initiate its operational principles.
- **Candidates:** candidates for these two roles were invited to express their interest after the meeting so that a decision is taken at the next PFP meeting.
- **The roles:** of the chair and vice chair will be described and provided based on the experience of the OHHLEP and clarify the working with the rest of the group, the relationship with other GF-TADs governing bodies to appreciate the amount of time required.


Further clarifications on the areas where resource mobilization have gone well and where there are challenges to tackle.

Guidance the PFP's on various aspects of PPR GEP II, particularly on costing of PPR GEP II, resource mobilization and partnering an engagement.




## Main Conclusions 1<sup>st</sup> Meeting

- To maintain the existing number of members to 13 and additional members might be required (e.g. producer's organizations, regional/continental bodies representatives of communities).
- Subgroups may be needed and additional members might be required (e.g. producer's organizations, regional/continental bodies representatives of communities) to further discuss this issue and for the partnership
- Meetings on monthly basis followed by a quarterly basis. Next call was set to the end of June/beginning of July.
- The group welcomed the support of GFTADs technical officers particularly the GS and other secretariats to assist and guide PFP members when addressing particular topics. To improve the discussion of the panel, need to better define regional interactions and how to better partner with regional and how to link to global work expectations from international organizations.
- The GS will further support the group by identifying key roles and drafting ToRs for Chair and Vice Chair of the PFP.
- The GS will follow-up with the PFP on the work to support the costing for PPR GEP II and support resource mobilization, partnerships and engagement to implement the programs by providing recommendations.



## Feedback of RSC Africa

1. Are all influencing stakeholders from the region engaged in priority TADs control?
2. Are they all aware of what is expected from them?
3. Do countries have multiannual financing plans for the control of the priority TADs?
4. Can regional experience/success situation be shared. Inter-ministerial coordination



Thank you for your attention