

PREVALENCE, INCIDENCE, ABSENCE

Larry Hammell

Professor, Dept of Health Management
Director, AVC Centre for Aquatic Health Sciences
Innovation PEI Research Chair (Aquatic Epidemiology)

Atlantic Veterinary College
University of Prince Edward Island

and

Co-Director, OIE CC ERAAAD (www.eraaad.org)

Many sections extracted from [OIE Guide for Aquatic Animal Health Surveillance](#)

Surveillance for Disease Frequency

- When used to describe disease patterns, purpose is to describe disease occurrence in terms of aquatic animal characteristics, time and place
- Surveillance data are used to estimate measures of disease frequency (prevalence and incidence measures) with respective confidence intervals or probability intervals
- Test sensitivity and specificity should be considered whenever measures of disease frequency are estimated

Prevalence vs Incidence

- Prevalence is proportion of infected aquatic animals in a population at a given point in time
- Incidence measures describe the occurrence of **new** cases in a population
 - cumulative incidence (or incidence proportion)
 - incidence density

Incidence density (for specific observation period)

- Number of newly diseased aquatic animals during the period / total number of disease-free time periods at risk of getting the disease for all the aquatic animals of the population
 - calculated in either closed or open populations

Incidence measures

- consider only new cases of disease in a population
- give an indication of speed healthy aquatic animals are “converted” to diseased animals
 - Mortality considered incidence density of death in a population if known number of live aquatic animals at start of period and when each aquatic animal died

Incidence measures are more dynamic than prevalence

- prevalence takes into consideration all existing cases of a disease at a given point in time, regardless of whether these are new occurrences of the disease or chronic cases
- prevalence is affected by occurrence of new cases AND also by duration of existing cases in the population

Prevalence

- duration of disease in the population can be affected by “speed” of recovery or death of diseased aquatic animals
- Consequently, a disease which is quickly and highly fatal will have a small prevalence in a population
 - chronic disease might have a higher prevalence, even though there fewer new cases

Incidence useful to know, hard to get

- **incidence** measures better describe occurrence of disease and changes to “amount” of disease in a population
 - Because focus is on new cases not affected by disease duration
- incidence measures usually much more difficult (than prevalence)
 - requires repeated sampling of population over time to count all new cases of disease and calculate appropriate denominators
- Prevalence estimates can be obtained at single time point with a single survey

Population subsets

- Measures of disease frequency can be calculated for an entire population and specific time period
- or for subsets defined by host characteristics (e.g. age-specific) or by spatial placement
- “Fish with ulcers” can be a subset of the general population
 - prevalence of disease in ulcer fish is NOT the same as prevalence for general population

Levels for Disease Frequency

- Estimates can be obtained at level of individual aquatic animals or at any other organization or holding level
 - E.g. fish-level prevalence of disease is proportion of diseased fish in a population
 - farm-level prevalence is proportion of farms with diseased fish in “population” of farms in an area

Confidence Intervals

- Related to sample size
 - reflects precision of estimates
- Narrow confidence intervals desired
 - Larger sample sizes (and more dedication of resources) required
- Precision of estimates and power to detect differences in prevalence between populations or between time points depends
 - on sample size
 - actual value of prevalence in the population (or actual difference of prevalences)
 - when designing the surveillance system, a prior estimate/assumption of expected prevalence or expected difference in prevalences must be made

Using Prevalence to Advantage

- Prevalence can be used to alter diagnostic test interpretation
- Higher prevalence usually results in greater pathogen concentration in sampled individuals
- If higher prevalence, predictive value of positive test is higher

Absence of disease

- When ZERO positive diagnostic tests, then apparent prevalence in population is 0
 - If using random sample of population
 - Confidence interval dictated by sample size
 - Larger sample size, more confident that true prevalence is 0

Absence when non-random sample

- Cannot calculate confidence interval
- If bias toward lower prevalence segment of population, then less likely to detect (i.e. declare absence falsely)
- If bias toward higher prevalence segment of population, then more likely to detect (i.e. less false declarations of absence)

Prevalence when non-random sample

- Non-ZERO prevalence (i.e. detect at least 1 case)
 - Cannot calculate true prevalence because this was not sample from entire population
 - If random from segment, then may calculate prevalence within that segment of population

TRUE PREVALENCE (TP)

Can be derived from Apparent Prevalence and test characteristics

$$TP = \frac{(AP + DSp - 1)}{(DSe + DSp - 1)}$$

Summary

- Prevalence is more commonly used to describe aquatic disease frequency
- Risk-based sampling strategies potentially describes prevalence in a segment of the population
 - but not general population