







### Content

- 1. Institutional background
- 2. Introduction
- 3. Reason for request
- 4. Mission Request
- 5. Mission Results
- 6. Constraints
- 7. Challenges
- 8. Lessons learned









1.
Institutional background (INIP)

The Fish Inspection is under the Ministry of the Sea, Inland Waters and Fisheries, and has the following responsibilities:

- ☐ Sanitary licensing of production units and processing operators, handling of fishery products and by-products;
- ☐ Health certification of fishery products;
- ☐ Conducting research programs and providing services as a result of official controls;
- ☐ Carrying out health control and inspection actions.

In regards to the health of aquatic organisms, it has the following competences:

- Licensing and inspection of establishments for the handling of living Aquatic Organisms (AO);
- Promote the monitorisation and certification of the AO's health in coordination with the Veterinary Authority (AV).









#### 2. Introduction

- ☐ The sustainability of access fisheries products to Markets is guaranteed with the application of WTO -SPS Measures;
- For better application of SPS Measures and to protect animal and public health, Veterinary Services and Laboratories must provide themselves with infrastructure, resources and capabilities;
- ☐ In order to assess the compliance of the performance of the Veterinary Services in Mozambique, in light of the rules in force at the World Organization for Animal Health (WOAH), a PVS analysis mission was requested.









#### **PVS**

It is a comprehensive and qualitative external assessment, conducted by a group of experts trained and certified by WOAH

### 2. Introduction (1)

### ☐ PVS diagnosis is carried out by:

Examining the progression level of the Services provided, using a performance indicator assessment tool, which assesses 47 critical competencies

### Through:

- ✓ Document analysis;
- ✓ Interviews,
- ✓ Field visits, etc....











☐ The need for a conformity assessment of the performance (Fish Inspection) in light with the standards in forced by WOAH;

☐ Improve the services provided;

☐ Ensure access of living organisms to markets









### 4. Request for PVS analysis mission and follow-up mission

☐ The Fish Inspeccion through the Veterinary Authority requested the PVS assessment from WOHA in December 2010;

☐ The PVS assessment mission took place in September, 19-27 of 2011, in which findings and recommendations were made;

☐ From July 3-14 of 2023, a follow-up PVS evaluation mission was carried out to verify the evolution of the veterinary services, in relation to the first evaluation.







Air examples

Human,
Physical
and
Financial
Resources

Technical Authority and Capability

PVS Assessment Mission

Interaction with Stakeholders



Access to Markets











### 4.2. Institutions visited

- Fish Inspection (Headquarters, Delegations and Laboratories);
- Public and private institutions involved in the health of Aquatic Organisms
  - Academies with related curriculum
  - Research laboratories
  - Fishing associations
  - Fishing Operators
  - • • • •











### 5. Mission Results Key recommendations 2011 – Progress made

## Fundamental Component 1. Human, physical and financial resources:

- \*Better internal coordination achieved;
- \*Still room for improvement on coordination between institutions.

# Fundamental Component 2. Technical authority and capability:

\*Access to level III laboratory achieved. Still levels I and II lacking.

## Fundamental Component 3. Interaction with stakeholders:

\*Noticeable efforts in communication and consultation.

## Fundamental Component 4. Access to markets:

\*Law including Aquatic Animals is ready to pass.









## 5. Mission Results Key findings of the PVS Follow Up Mission

☐ Mission organisation and documentation provided;

☐ Food safety of aquatic animal products, both from fisheries and aquaculture are very well organized;

□ Strategy for the development of aquaculture sector (artisanal & commercial) is ambitious, but cannot be only based on production objectives and needs to be accompanied by strong Aquatic Animal Health Policy to reach sustainability;

□Risk based surveillance;









## 5. Mission Results Key findings of the PVS Follow Up Mission (1)

☐ Biosecurity in broodstock farms and hatcheries as a priority, and biosecurity in farms gradually implemented;

☐ Training of extensionists and other stakeholders in Aquatic Animal Health activities, notably for early detection and passive surveillance;

Development of compartments as an opportunity;

Use of the report to prioritize activities (legislation and implementation). Advocacy for resource mobilization.











Lack of funds for the logistics of the mission (conditioning visits to more locations);

Lack of commitment from some stakeholders in the HAA to receive the mission.









### 7. Challenges

- Develop efforts to obtain human, physical and financial resources to comply with the recommendations;
- Request the performance of GAP analysis;

☐ Shorten the time between PVS mission.







### 8. Lessons learned



Prioritize the resolution of existing structural problems of veterinary services;

☐ It served as an opportunity for technical training taking into account the accumulated expert's experience;

The recommendations and suggestions left, will serve as a base for improvement of the veterinary services performance in our country.









